arXiv:0806.1168v1 [math.FA] 6 Jun 2008

Tangential interpolation in weighted vector-valued H^p spaces, with applications

Birgit Jacob* Jonathan R. Partington† Sandra Pott‡

June 6, 2008

Abstract

In this paper, norm estimates are obtained for the problem of minimal-norm tangential interpolation by vector-valued analytic functions in weighted H^p spaces, expressed in terms of the Carleson constants of related scalar measures. Applications are given to the notion of p-controllability properties of linear semigroup systems and controllability by functions in certain Sobolev spaces.

Keywords. Weighted Hardy space, Interpolation, Carleson measure, Semigroup system, Admissibility, Controllability

2000 Subject Classification. 30D55, 30E05, 47A57, 47D06, 93B05.

1 Introduction and Notation

Given a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, operators $G_1, \ldots, G_n$ on $\mathcal{H}$, vectors $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ in $\mathcal{H}$, and $z_1, \ldots, z_n$ in $\mathbb{C}_+$ we estimate the minimal norm of a function $f \in H^p_W(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathcal{H})$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, satisfying the interpolation conditions

$$G_k f(z_k) = a_k \quad (k = 1, \ldots, n)$$

(all necessary notation is explained below). This can be regarded as a problem of *tangential interpolation* in the sense of [1]. We shall see that in many cases a sharp estimate can be given in terms of the Carleson constants of various scalar measures.

In the paper [6], certain weighted vector-valued generalizations of the Shapiro–Shields interpolation theory [12] for the Hardy space H^2 of the right-hand complex half-plane $\mathbb{C}_+$ were achieved. The central tool was a modification of an approach of McPhail [9] to the matrix case via matrix Blaschke–Potapov products (see e.g. [11]), which allowed a unified treatment of tangential interpolation results in the literature as well as their extension to the general weighted case (in the sense of matrix weights in the target space).

The purpose of the present paper is to extend this weighted tangential interpolation theory to interpolation by functions in vector-valued H^p spaces, $1 \leq p < \infty$, on the right half plane.

---

[*] Department of Applied Mathematics, Delft University of Technology, P.O. Box 5031, 2600 GA Delft, The Netherlands, `b.jacob@tudelft.nl`

[†] School of Mathematics, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, U.K. `J.R.Partington@leeds.ac.uk`

[‡] Department of Mathematics, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, G12 8QW, U.K. `s.pott@math.gla.ac.uk`

In certain cases, we can also deal with matrix-weighted vector-valued H^p spaces. Note that matrix weights appear in two different meanings here. First, we use *"matrix weights in the target space"*, in the sense that given a sequence of distinct points (z_k) in the right half plane $\mathbb{C}_+$ and a sequence of $N \times N$ matrices (G_k), thought of as weights, with ranges $J_k \subseteq \mathbb{C}^N$, we try to find for each sequence in (a_k) in $\ell^p(J_k)$ an interpolating $\mathbb{C}^N$ valued function f in an appropriate space with $G_k f(z_k) = a_k$ for all k. Weights in this sense are very useful for questions of controllability in linear systems with multidimensional input space governed by diagonal semigroups, as discussed in [6]. The main interesting case here is the *"tangential"* case, i.e., where $\operatorname{rank} G_k = 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Second, the weights newly introduced in the present paper are matrix weights on the space of interpolating functions. Namely, rather than interpolating by functions in $H^2(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathbb{C}^N)$, we will seek to interpolate by functions in the weighted vector-valued H^p-space

$$H^p_W(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathbb{C}^N) = \{f : \mathbb{C}_+ \to \mathbb{C}^N \text{ analytic: } \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \int_{i\mathbb{R}} \langle W(t)^{2/p} f(t+\varepsilon), f(t+\varepsilon)\rangle^{p/2} dt < \infty\},$$

where W is a measurable function on $i\mathbb{R}$ taking values a.e. in the positive invertible $N \times N$ matrices. We want to refer to W as a *"matrix-weight in the function space"*. The motivation in this case is given by questions of controllability by functions in certain Sobolev spaces, which are new even in the scalar case.

Again, the approach of McPhail modified to the matrix case will play an important role, together with the theory of matrix A_2 weights.

In Section 2 we give norm estimates for the minimum-norm interpolation problem. Applications to various notions of controllability are contained in Section 3.

We shall frequently use the following notation. Let $(z_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a Blaschke sequence of pairwise distinct elements in the right half plane $\mathbb{C}_+ = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} z > 0\}$. Let $b_k(z) = \frac{z - z_k}{z + \bar{z}_k}$ denote the Blaschke factor for z_k. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \le k \le n$, let $B_n(z) = \prod_{j=1}^n b_j(z)$, $B_{n,k}(z) = \prod_{j=1, j\neq k}^n b_j(z)$, $b_{n,k} = B_{n,k}(z_k)$, $b_{\infty,k} = \lim_{n\to\infty} B_{n,k}(z_k)$.

Also $k_{z_k} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1}{z + \bar{z}_k}$ denotes the reproducing kernel at z_k, so that $\langle f, k_{z_k}\rangle = f(z_k)$ for all $f \in H^2(\mathbb{C}_+)$.

For an index p with $1 \le p < \infty$, we use p' to denote the conjugate index $p/(p-1)$.

2 Interpolation

The aim of this section is to extend the results of McPhail [9] to a vector setting. We begin by collecting some tools.

2.1 Carleson–Duren type embedding theorems for matrix measures

Recall the classical Carleson–Duren Embedding Theorem (see e.g. [10], [3]).

Theorem 2.1 *Let μ be a non-negative Borel measure on the right half plane $\mathbb{C}_+$ and let $1 \le \alpha < \infty$. Then the following are equivalent:*

1. *The embedding*

$$H^p(\mathbb{C}_+) \to L^{\alpha p}(\mathbb{C}_+, \mu)$$

is bounded for some (or equivalently, for all) $1 \leq p < \infty$.

2. *There exists a constant* $C > 0$ *such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} |k_\lambda(z)|^2 d\mu(z) \leq C \|k_\lambda\|^2_{H^{2\alpha}} \text{ for all } \lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+$$

3. $$\mu(Q_I) \leq C|I|^\alpha \text{ for all intervals } I \subset \mathbb{R},$$

   where $Q_I = \{z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C}_+ : y \in I, 0 < x < |I|\}$.

In this case, μ *is called a* α*-Carleson measure.*

For $0 < \alpha < 1$, we will call μ an α-Carleson measure if the embedding

$$H^p(\mathbb{C}_+) \to L^{\alpha p}(\mathbb{C}_+, \mu)$$

is bounded for some (or equivalently, for all) $1 \leq p < \infty$. In this case, conditions (2) and (3) of the Theorem are no longer sufficient to μ to be α-Carleson, but they are easily seen to be necessary. A necessary and sufficient condition for the case $\alpha < 1$ can be found in [8, Thm. C], and will be summarized in the following theorem.

For a scalar or operator valued regular Borel measure μ on $\mathbb{C}_+$, let S_μ denote the *balayage* of μ,

$$S_\mu(i\omega) = \int_{\mathbb{C}_+} p_z(i\omega) d\mu(z),$$

where

$$p_z(i\omega) = \pi^{-1} \frac{x}{x^2 + (y - \omega)^2} \tag{1}$$

denotes the Poisson kernel for $z = x + iy$ on $i\mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 2.2 *[8] Let* $0 < \alpha < 1$ *and let* μ *be a (scalar-valued) non-negative regular Borel measure on* $\mathbb{C}_+$*. Then*

$$H^p(\mathbb{C}_+) \to L^{\alpha p}(\mathbb{C}_+, \mu)$$

is bounded for some, and equivalently, for all $0 < p < \infty$*, if and only if* $S_\mu \in L^{1/(1-\alpha)}(i\mathbb{R})$.

For a discrete measure with finite support, $\mu = \sum_{k=1}^N A_k \delta_{z_k}$ on $\mathbb{C}_+$, the balayage (if it exists) can be conveniently expressed as

$$S_\mu(i\omega) = \sum_{k=1}^N A_k p_{z_k}(i\omega).$$

We can trivially include the notion of a 0-Carleson measure here, denoting a finite measure, and find that

$$H^\infty(\mathbb{C}_+) \to L^p(\mathbb{C}_+, \mu) \tag{2}$$

is bounded for some, and equivalently, for all $0 < p < \infty$, if and only if μ is 0-Carleson.

We write $\mathrm{Carl}_\alpha(\mu)$ for the infimum of constants satisfying 2.1 (2) in case $\alpha \geq 1$, respectively $\|S_\mu\|_{1/(1-\alpha)}$ in case $0 < \alpha < 1$. With this notation, the known results yield easily that

$$\|H^p(\mathbb{C}_+) \to L^{\alpha p}(\mathbb{C}_+, \mu)\| \approx \mathrm{Carl}_\alpha^{1/(\alpha p)}(\mu)$$

for $1 \leq p < \infty$, $\alpha > 0$, with equivalence constants depending only on p and α.
Let us use the following notation: For $0 < p < \infty$, $\mathcal{H}$ a finite or infinite-dimensional Hilbert space,

$$H^p(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathcal{H}) = \left\{ f : \mathbb{C}_+ \to \mathcal{H} \text{ analytic: } \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \int_{i\mathbb{R}} \|f(t+\varepsilon)\|^p \, dt < \infty \right\},$$

and

$$H^p(\mathbb{C}_-, \mathcal{H}) = \left\{ f : \mathbb{C}_+ \to \mathcal{H} \text{ anti-analytic: } \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \int_{i\mathbb{R}} \|f(t+\varepsilon)\|^p \, dt < \infty \right\}.$$

Although a full operator analogue of even the classical Carleson Embedding Theorem is not known, the following is easily proved.

Theorem 2.3 *Let μ be a non-negative operator-valued Borel measure on the right half plane $\mathbb{C}_+$. For $0 < p < \infty$, let*

$$L^p(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathcal{H}, \mu) = \left\{ f : \mathbb{C}_+ \to \mathcal{H} \text{ strongly measurable} : \int_{\mathbb{D}} \langle d\mu(z)^{2/p} f(z), f(z) \rangle^{p/2} < \infty \right\}.$$

Let $\|\mu\|$ be the total variation of μ,

$$\|\mu\|(A) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \|\mu(A_i)\| : A_1, \dots, A_n \text{ pairwise disjoint, } A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n = A, n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

Suppose that $\|\mu\|$ is a scalar α-Carleson measure.
Then the embedding

$$H^p(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathcal{H}) \to L^{\alpha p}(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathcal{H}, \mu)$$

is bounded for $1 \leq p < \infty$, $0 < \alpha < \infty$, and the embedding

$$H^\infty(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathcal{H}) \to L^p(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathcal{H}, \mu)$$

is bounded for $0 < p < \infty$, $\alpha = 0$.
If $\dim \mathcal{H} < \infty$, then the reverse is also true.

Proof A proof is stated here for the convenience of the reader. Let $f \in H^p(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathcal{H})$. Choosing an orthonormal basis (e_j) of $\mathcal{H}$ and writing $f_j = \langle f(\cdot), e_j \rangle$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{C}_+} \langle d\mu(z)^{2/(\alpha p)} f(z), f(z) \rangle^{\alpha p/2} &\leq \int_{\mathbb{C}_+} (\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |f_j(z)|^2)^{\alpha p/2} d\|\mu\|(z) \\
&\approx \int_{\mathbb{C}_+} \int_0^1 |\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} r_j(s) f_j(z)|^{\alpha p} ds d\|\mu\|(z) \\
&\lesssim \left(\int_0^1 \int_{i\mathbb{R}} |\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} r_j(s) f_j(t)|^p dt ds \right)^\alpha \\
&\approx \left(\int_{i\mathbb{R}} (\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |f_j(t)|^2)^{p/2} dt \right)^\alpha \\
&= \|f\|_{H^p}^{\alpha p}
\end{aligned}$$

by the Carleson–Duren Theorem, respectively the definition of a α-Carleson measure, in the scalar case. Here, the r_j, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, denote the Rademacher functions on $[0,1]$, and we use Khintchine's inequalities in lines 2 and 4, and constants depend only on p.
For the reverse implication in the finite-dimensional case, just note that a comparison of trace and operator norm gives that $\|\mu\|$ is a scalar α-Carleson measure if and only if $\operatorname{tr}\mu$ is α-Carleson. Let $e_1, \dots, e_N$ denote an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{H}$. Then in case $0 < \alpha < \infty$, the reverse implication follows easily from the identity

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}_+} |f(z)|^{\alpha p} d \operatorname{tr} \mu = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\mathbb{C}_+} \langle d\mu(z)^{2/(\alpha p)} f(z) e_i, f(z) e_i \rangle^{\alpha p/2} \quad (f \in H^p(\mathbb{C}_+))$$

and the scalar case. In case $\alpha = 0$, apply boundedness of the embedding $H^\infty(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathcal{H}) \to L^p(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathcal{H}, \mu)$ to the constant $\mathcal{H}$-valued functions $e_1, \dots, e_N$. ■

Finally, we want to deal with a less trivial case, the case of matrix-weighted embeddings.
A strongly measurable function $W : i\mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is called an *operator weight*, if it takes values in the positive invertible operators in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ a.e. and $\|W\|, \|W^{-1}\| \in L^1_{loc}(i\mathbb{R})$. If $\dim \mathcal{H} < \infty$, we speak of matrix weights. A matrix weight W is called matrix A_2, if

$$\sup_{I \subset \mathbb{R}, I \text{ bounded interval}} \left\| \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I W^{-1}(t)\, dt \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I W(t)\, dt \right) \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I W^{-1}(t)\, dt \right)^{1/2} \right\| < \infty \tag{3}$$

(see [14]). An equivalent formulation is the "invariant matrix A_2 condition"

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{C}_+} \|(W^{-1}(z))^{1/2} W(z) (W^{-1}(z))^{1/2}\| < \infty, \tag{4}$$

(see [14, Lem. 2.2]), where $W(z)$, $W^{-1}(z)$ denote the Poisson extension of W resp. W^{-1} in $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$ (so in general $W^{-1}(z) \neq (W(z))^{-1}$). The invariant A_2 condition implies at once

$$W^{-1}(\cdot) \approx (W(\cdot))^{-1}.$$

For matrix A_2 weights, we have the following matrix-weighted embedding theorem, essentially taken from [15]:

Theorem 2.4 *Let μ be a non-negative $N \times N$ matrix-valued Borel measure on the right half plane $\mathbb{C}_+$, and let W be a matrix A_2 weight on $i\mathbb{R}$. We write $W(z)$ for the harmonic extension of W in $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$. Let*

$$L^2_W(i\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}^N) = \left\{ f : \mathbb{C}_+ \to \mathbb{C}^N \text{ measurable} : \int_{i\mathbb{R}} \langle W(t) f(t), f(t) \rangle dt < \infty \right\},$$

$$L^2_W(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathbb{C}^N, d\mu) = \left\{ f : \mathbb{C}_+ \to \mathbb{C}^N \text{ measurable} : \int_{\mathbb{C}_+} \langle (W(z))^{1/2} d\mu(z) (W(z))^{1/2} f(z), f(z) \rangle < \infty \right\}.$$

Then the embedding

$$L^2_W(i\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}^N) \to L^2_W(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathbb{C}^N, d\mu)$$

is bounded, if and and only if μ is a matrix Carleson measure.

Proof "⇐" For the case of the unit disk and scalar measure μ, this is proved in [15, Lem. 4.1]. The case of the right half plane for scalar measures is proved similarly. To obtain the boundedness of the embedding for a matrix measure μ, just note that $\operatorname{tr}\mu$ is a Carleson measure by Theorem 2.3 and that

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}_+} \langle (W(z))^{1/2} d\mu(z)(W(z))^{1/2} f(z), f(z)\rangle \le \int_{\mathbb{C}_+} \langle W(z)f(z), f(z)\rangle d\operatorname{tr}\mu(z).$$

"⇒" As in the case of the unit disk, the matrix A_2 condition implies a certain factorization of the weight. Namely, there exist matrix-valued functions $F, G \in L^2_{loc}(i\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}^{N\times N})$ such that $F \circ M$, $G \circ M$ are outer functions in $H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^{N\times N})$, and $W = F^*F$, $W^{-1} = GG^*$ a.e. on $i\mathbb{R}$. Here, $M : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C}_+$ denotes the Cayley transform $z \mapsto \frac{1-z}{1+z}$. (For the case of the unit disk, see the proof of [15, Thm. 3.2]. The case of the right half-plane $\mathbb{C}_+$ then follows easily from the fact that composition with M maps a matrix A_2 weight on $i\mathbb{R}$ to a matrix A_2 weight on $\mathbb{T}$.) As in the proof of [15, Thm. 3.2],

$$|\det G(z)| = |\det F(z)|^{-1} \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}_+$$

and

$$F^*(z)F(z) \le (F^*F)(z), \quad G(z)G^*(z) \le (GG^*)(z) \text{ for all } z \in \mathbb{C}_+ \tag{5}$$

by Cauchy–Schwarz. Since by the matrix A_2 condition there exists a constant $C > 0$ with

$$(W^{-1}(z))^{1/2} W(z) (W^{-1}(z))^{1/2} \le C^2 \mathbf{1} \text{ for all } z \in \mathbb{C}_+,$$

it follows that

$$\sup_{z\in\mathbb{C}_+} |\det(W(z))||\det(W^{-1}(z))| = \sup_{z\in\mathbb{C}_+} \frac{|\det(W(z))|}{|\det F(z)|^2} \frac{|\det(W^{-1}(z))|}{|\det G(z)|^2} \le C^{2N},$$

with both factors bounded by below 1 because of (5). Thus

$$|\det G(z)| \le (\det W^{-1}(z))^{1/2} \le C^N |\det G(z)| \quad \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{C}_+.$$

As

$$0 \le (W^{-1}(z))^{-1/2} G(z)G^*(z)(W^{-1}(z))^{-1/2} \le \mathbf{1}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{C^{2N}} \le \det((W^{-1}(z))^{-1/2} G(z)G^*(z)(W^{-1}(z))^{-1/2}) \le 1 \text{ for } z \in \mathbb{C}_+,$$

it follows that

$$\frac{1}{C^{2N}} \mathbf{1} \le (W^{-1}(z))^{-1/2} G(z)G^*(z)(W^{-1}(z))^{-1/2} \le \mathbf{1} \text{ for } z \in \mathbb{C}_+. \tag{6}$$

Applying the invariant matrix A_2 condition yet again,

$$\frac{1}{C^{2N}} \mathbf{1} \le (W(z))^{1/2} G(z)G^*(z)(W(z))^{1/2} \le C^2 \mathbf{1} \text{ for } z \in \mathbb{C}_+. \tag{7}$$

Now let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+$, and let $e_1, \ldots, e_N$ be the standard basis $\mathbb{C}^N$. Then (7) implies

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{C^{2N}} \int_{\mathbb{C}_+} |k_\lambda(z)|^2 d \operatorname{tr} \mu(z) \\
\leq & \int_{\mathbb{C}_+} \operatorname{tr}(G(z)^*(W(z))^{1/2} d\mu(z)(W(z))^{1/2} G(z)) |k_\lambda(z)|^2 \\
= & \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{C}_+} \langle (W(z))^{1/2} d\mu(z)(W(z))^{1/2} G(z) k_\lambda(z) e_i, G(z) k_\lambda(z) e_i \rangle \\
\leq & \tilde{C}^2 \int_{i\mathbb{R}} \sum_{i=1}^N \langle W(t) G(t) k_\lambda e_i, G(t) k_\lambda e_i \rangle dt \\
= & \tilde{C}^2 \int_{i\mathbb{R}} \operatorname{tr}(G^*(t) W(t) G(t)) |k_\lambda(t)|^2 dt = N \tilde{C}^2 \|k_\lambda\|_{H^2}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{C}$ denotes the norm of the embedding $L^2_W(i\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}^N) \to L^2_W(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathbb{C}^N, d\mu)$. Thus $\operatorname{tr} \mu$ is a Carleson measure, and μ is a matrix Carleson measure by Theorem 2.3. ■

2.2 Certain shift-invariant subspaces in $H^p(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathcal{H})$

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a separable Hilbert space. Exactly as in Lemma 2.4 in [6], one proves

Lemma 2.5 *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, and let $(z_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of pairwise distinct elements of $\mathbb{C}_+$. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $L_k \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ be a closed linear subspace of $\mathcal{H}$. Let $\mathcal{L}_n = \{f \in H^p(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathcal{H}) : f(z_k) \in L_k$ for $1 \leq k \leq n\}$. Then $\mathcal{L}_n = \Theta_n^L H^p(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathcal{H})$, where Θ_n^L is the matrix-valued Blaschke–Potapov product*

$$\Theta_n^L(z) = (b_1(z) P_{\tilde{L}_1}^\perp + P_{\tilde{L}_1}) \cdots (b_n(z) P_{\tilde{L}_n}^\perp + P_{\tilde{L}_n}) \quad (z \in \mathbb{C}_+),$$

where

$$\tilde{L}_1 = L_1, \quad \tilde{L}_k = \Theta_{k-1}^L(z_k)^{-1} L_k \quad \text{for } 2 \leq k \leq n$$

and $P_{\tilde{L}_k}$ is the orthogonal projection $\mathcal{H} \to \tilde{L}_k$.
One sees easily that $P_{L_k^\perp} \Theta_n^L(z_k) = 0$ for $k = 1, \ldots, n$.
If (z_k) is a Blaschke sequence, then Θ_n^L converges normally (uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{C}_+$) to an inner function Θ^L with $\Theta^L H^p(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathcal{H}) = \cap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{L}_n$.

2.3 Interpolation Theorems

With the notation of the Θ_n^L, we can formulate our generalizations of McPhail's result [9, Thm. 2 (B)]. Let W be an operator weight such that there exists $M \in \mathbb{N}$ with

$$\int_{i\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{(1+t)^M} (\|W(t)\| + \|W^{-1}(t)\|) dt < \infty.$$

We define for $1 \leq p < \infty$

$$H^p_W(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathcal{H}) = \left\{ f : \mathbb{C}_+ \to \mathcal{H} \text{ analytic: } \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \int_{i\mathbb{R}} \langle W(t)^{2/p} f(t+\varepsilon), f(t+\varepsilon) \rangle^{p/2} dt < \infty \right\},$$

$$H^p_W(\mathbb{C}_-, \mathcal{H}) =$$
$$\left\{ f : \mathbb{C}_+ \to \mathcal{H} \text{ anti-analytic: } \sup_{\varepsilon>0} \int_{i\mathbb{R}} \langle W(t)^{2/p} f(t+\varepsilon), f(t+\varepsilon)\rangle^{p/2} dt < \infty \right\}$$

and for $p = \infty$,

$$H^\infty_W(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathcal{H}) = \{f : \mathbb{C}_+ \to \mathcal{H} \text{ analytic: } \sup_{\varepsilon>0} \sup_{t\in i\mathbb{R}} \|W(t) f(t+\varepsilon)\| < \infty\},$$
$$H^\infty_W(\mathbb{C}_-, \mathcal{H}) = \{f : \mathbb{C}_+ \to \mathcal{H} \text{ anti-analytic: } \sup_{\varepsilon>0} \sup_{t\in i\mathbb{R}} \|W(t) f(t+\varepsilon)\| < \infty\}.$$

For $N = \dim \mathcal{H} < \infty$, it was shown in [14] that W is a matrix A_2 weight as in (3) if and only if

$$L^2_W(i\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H}) \simeq H^2_W(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathcal{H}) \oplus H^2_W(\mathbb{C}_-, \mathcal{H}), \tag{8}$$

with equivalence constants of norms only depending on N and the A_2 constant of W.
With the above notation, we have the following duality relations for $1 < p < \infty$:

$$(L^p_W(i\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H})/H^p_W(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathcal{H}))^* = H^{p'}_{W^{-1/(p-1)}}(\mathbb{C}_-, \mathcal{H}) = \left\{ \bar{f} : f \in H^{p'}_{\overline{W^{-1/(p-1)}}}(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathcal{H}) \right\},$$

where $\bar{f}$ stands for the coordinatewise complex conjugate with respect to some fixed orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{H}$, and $\overline{W^{-1}}$ stands for the entry-wise complex conjugate of the matrix representation of W^{-1} with respect to the chosen basis. The duality is given by

$$\langle [f], g\rangle = \int_{i\mathbb{R}} \langle f(t), g(t)\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} dt$$
$$\text{for } [f] \in L^p_W(i\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H})/H^p_W(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathcal{H}) \text{ and } g \in H^{p'}_{W^{-1/(p-1)}}(\mathbb{C}_-, \mathcal{H}).$$

for $1 < p < \infty$. For $p = 1$ we have $(L^1_W(i\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H})/H^1_W(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathcal{H}))^* = H^\infty_{W^{-1}}(\mathbb{C}_-, \mathcal{H})$.

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a separable Hilbert space, and let $(G_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of non-zero bounded linear operators on $\mathcal{H}$ with closed range. We will be particularly interested in the case of finite-dimensional $\mathcal{H}$ and of G_k being of finite rank, specifically of rank 1. We write $I_k = (\ker G_k)^\perp$, $J_k = \operatorname{range} G_k$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and denote $\dim I_k = \dim J_k$ by d_k in the case that G_k has finite rank. In the finite rank case, we write, slightly abusing notation, $G_k^{-1} : \mathbb{C}^N \to I_k \subseteq \mathbb{C}^N$ for the linear operator defined by $(G_k|_{I_k \to J_k})^{-1} P_{J_k}$. We fix the Blaschke sequence $(z_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ of pairwise distinct elements of $\mathbb{C}_+$ and the sequence $(G_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$.
For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \le p < \infty$, and $1 \le s < \infty$, let

$$m_{n,p,s,W} = \sup_{a \in \bigoplus_{k=1}^n J_k, \|a\|_s \le 1} \inf\{\|f\|_p : f \in H^p_W(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathcal{H}),\ G_k f(z_k) = a_k,\ k = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

and

$$m_{p,s,W} = \sup_{a \in \bigoplus_{k=1}^\infty J_k, \|a\|_s \le 1} \inf\{\|f\|_p : f \in H^p_W(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathcal{H}),\ G_k f(z_k) = a_k,\ k \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

A weak* compactness argument shows that $m_{p,s,W} = \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} m_{n,p,s,W}$.
Here comes the main interpolation result.

Theorem 2.6 *Let $1 \le p < \infty$. Let $(G_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$, $(I_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$, $(z_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$, $m_{p,s,W}$ be defined as above. Let $\Theta^{I^\perp}$ be the inner function associated to the sequence $(z_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ and the sequence of subspaces $(I_k^\perp)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ as in Lemma 2.5.*

1. *If $1 \le p < \infty$ and $1 < s < \infty$, then*

$$m_{p,s,W} = \|\mathcal{J}\|_{H^{p'}_{\tilde{W}_p}(\mathbb{C}_-,\mathcal{H}) \to L^{s'}(\mathbb{C}_+,\mathcal{H},d\mu_s)}$$

where

$$\mu_s = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|2\operatorname{Re} z_k|^{s'}}{|b_{\infty,k}|^{s'}} (\overline{\Theta^I(z_k)}^* G_k^{-1} (G_k^{-1})^* \overline{\Theta^I(z_k)})^{s'/2} \delta_{z_k},$$

$$\tilde{W}_p = {\Theta^{I^\perp}}^* W^{-1/(p-1)} \Theta^{I^\perp} \text{ if } 1 < p < \infty,\ \tilde{W}_1 = {\Theta^{I^\perp}}^* W^{-1} \Theta^{I^\perp},$$

and $\mathcal{J}$ is the natural embedding operator.

2. *If $1 \le p < \infty$, $s = 1$, then*

$$m_{p,1,W} = \|\mathcal{J}_1\|_{H^{p'}_{\tilde{W}_p}(\mathbb{C}_-,\mathcal{H}) \to \ell^\infty(\mathcal{H})} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}),$$

where $\tilde{W}_p$ is as above, and $\mathcal{J}_1$ is the embedding

$$\mathcal{J}_1 : H^{p'}_{\tilde{W}_p}(\mathbb{C}_-,\mathcal{H}) \to \ell^\infty(\mathcal{H}), \quad f \mapsto (2\frac{\operatorname{Re} z_k}{|b_{\infty,k}|}(G_k^{-1})^* \overline{\Theta^I(z_k)} f(z_k))_{k\in\mathbb{N}}.$$

Proof As in the case $p = 2$ [6], we prove this by first interpolating finitely many points and then using the uniform convergence of the Blaschke products Θ_n^I, $\Theta_n^{I^\perp}$ on compact subsets of $\mathbb{C}_+$:

Lemma 2.7 *Let $1 \le s, p < \infty$. Let $(G_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$, $(I_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$, $(z_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$, $(m_{n,p,s,W})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be defined as above. Let $\Theta_n^{I^\perp}$ be the inner functions associated to the tuple $z_1, \dots, z_n$ and the subspaces $I_1^\perp, \dots, I_n^\perp$ as in Lemma 2.5.*

1. *If $1 < s < \infty$, $1 \le p < \infty$, then*

$$m_{n,p,s,W} = \|\mathcal{J}\|_{H^{p'}_{\tilde{W}_{n,p}}(\mathbb{C}_-,\mathcal{H}) \to L^{s'}(\mathbb{C}_+,\mathcal{H},d\mu_{n,s})} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}),$$

where

$$\mu_{n,s} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{|2\operatorname{Re} z_k|^{s'}}{|b_{n,k}|^{s'}} (\overline{\Theta_n^I(z_k)}^* G_k^{-1} (G_k^{-1})^* \overline{\Theta_n^I(z_k)})^{s'/2} \delta_{z_k},$$

$$\tilde{W}_{n,p} = {\Theta_n^{I^\perp}}^* W^{-1/(p-1)} \Theta_n^{I^\perp} \text{ if } 1 < p < \infty,\ \tilde{W}_{n,1} = {\Theta_n^{I^\perp}}^* W^{-1} \Theta_n^{I^\perp},$$

and $\mathcal{J}$ is the natural embedding operator.

2. *If $s = 1$, $1 \le p < \infty$, then*

$$m_{n,p,1,W} = \|\mathcal{J}_{n,1}\|_{H^{p'}_{\tilde{W}_{n,p}}(\mathbb{C}_-,\mathcal{H}) \to \ell_n^\infty(\mathcal{H})} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}),$$

where $\tilde{W}_{n,p}$ is as above and $\mathcal{J}_{n,1}$ is the embedding

$$\mathcal{J}_{n,1} : H^{p'}_{\tilde{W}_{n,p}}(\mathbb{C}_-,\mathcal{H}) \to \ell_n^\infty(\mathcal{H}), \quad f \mapsto (2\frac{\operatorname{Re} z_k}{|b_{n,k}|} G_k^{-1} \Theta_n^{I^\perp}(z_k) f(z_k))_{k=1,\dots,n}.$$

Proof Choose $M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\int_{i\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{(1+t)^M} (\|W(t)\| + \|W^{-1}(t)\|) dt < \infty.$$

For $a \in \oplus_{k=1}^n J_k$, let

$$\Phi_a(z) = \sum_{k=1}^n b_k(z)^{-1} \frac{(1+z_k)^M}{(1+z)^M} (\Theta_{n,k}^{I^\perp})^{-1} G_k^{-1} a_k \quad (z \in \mathbb{C}_+ \backslash \{z_1, \ldots, z_n\}).$$

(recall that $G_k^{-1} : J_k \to I_k$, $(\Theta_{n,k}^{I^\perp})^{-1} : I_k \to \mathcal{H}$). By choice of M, $\Phi_a \in L^1_W(i\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})) \cap L^\infty(i\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}))$. Let $F_a = \Theta_n^{I^\perp} \Phi_a$.

As in Lemma 2.7 in [6], one proves that F_a extends to an analytic function on $\mathbb{C}_+$ and that $G_k F_a(z_k) = a_k$ for $k = 1, \ldots, n$.
Since $\Phi_a|_{i\mathbb{R}} \in L^1_W(i\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})) \cap L^\infty(i\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}))$ and $\Theta_n^{I^\perp}$ is inner, $F_a \in H^p_W(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathbb{C}^N)$. So F_a is an interpolating function in the desired sense. We now seek to solve the minimal-norm interpolation problem.
For all $g \in H^p(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathcal{H})$ with $G_k g(z_k) = a_k$, we have $g(z_k) - F_a(z_k) \in I_k^\perp$.
By Lemma 2.5,

$$\begin{aligned} m_{n,p,s,W} &= \sup_{a \in \oplus_{k=1}^n J_k, \|a\|_s = 1} \inf_{f \in H^p_W(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathcal{H})} \|F_a - \Theta_n^{I^\perp} f\|_{p,W} \\ &= \sup_{a \in \oplus_{k=1}^n J_k, \|a\|_s = 1} \inf_{f \in H^p_{\Theta_n^{I^\perp *} W \Theta_n^{I^\perp}}(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathcal{H})} \|\Phi_a - f\|_{p, \Theta_n^{I^\perp *} W \Theta_n^{I^\perp}} \\ &= \sup_{a \in \oplus_{k=1}^n J_k, \|a\|_s = 1} \|[\Phi_a]\|_{L^p_{\Theta_n^{I^\perp *} W \Theta_n^{I^\perp}}(i\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H}) / H^p_{\Theta_n^{I^\perp *} W \Theta_n^{I^\perp}}(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathcal{H})} \\ &= \sup_{a \in \oplus_{k=1}^n J_k, \|a\|_s = 1} \sup_{f \in H^{p'}_{\tilde{W}_{n,p}}(\mathbb{C}_-, \mathcal{H}), \|f\| = 1} |\langle \Phi_a, f \rangle|, \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where $\tilde{W}_{n,p} = \Theta_n^{I^\perp *} W^{-1/(p-1)} \Theta_n^{I^\perp}$ for $1 < p < \infty$ and $\tilde{W}_{n,1} = \Theta_n^{I^\perp *} W^{-1} \Theta_n^{I^\perp}$. Now we have to distinguish between the cases $1 < s < \infty$ and $s = 1$.
Temporarily writing $\mathcal{Z}$ for
$H^{p'}_{\tilde{W}_{n,p}}(\mathbb{C}_-, \mathcal{H}) = (L^p_{\Theta_n^{I^\perp *} W \Theta_n^{I^\perp}}(i\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H}) / H^p_{\Theta_n^{I^\perp *} W \Theta_n^{I^\perp}}(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathcal{H}))^*$, we have for $1 < s < \infty$:

$$\begin{aligned} m_{n,p,s,W} &= \sup_{a \in \oplus_{k=1}^n J_k, \|a\|_s = 1} \sup_{f \in \mathcal{Z}, \|f\| = 1} |\langle \Phi_a, f \rangle| \\ &= \sup_{f \in \mathcal{Z}, \|f\| = 1} \sup_{a \in \oplus_{k=1}^n J_k, \|a\|_s = 1} |\langle \Phi_a, f \rangle| \\ &= \sup_{f \in \mathcal{Z}, \|f\| = 1} \sup_{a \in \oplus_{k=1}^n J_k, \|a\|_s = 1} |\langle \sum_{k=1}^n \overline{b_k(z)} \left(\frac{1+z_k}{1+z} \right)^M (\Theta_{n,k}^{I^\perp})^{-1} G_k^{-1} a_k, f \rangle| \\ &= \sup_{f \in \mathcal{Z}, \|f\| = 1} \sup_{a \in \oplus_{k=1}^n J_k, \|a\|_s = 1} \\ &\qquad 2\pi \left| \left\langle \sum_{k=1}^n -(z + \bar{z}_k) \left(\frac{1+z_k}{1+z} \right)^M \langle (\Theta_{n,k}^{I^\perp})^{-1} G_k^{-1} a_k, f(z) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}, \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1}{z + \bar{z}_k} \right\rangle_{H^2(\mathbb{C}_+)} \right| \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
m_{n,p,s,W} &= \sup_{f\in\mathcal{Z},\|f\|=1}\ \sup_{a\in\oplus_{k=1}^{n}J_k,\|a\|_s=1} 2\pi|\langle\sum_{k=1}^{n}2\operatorname{Re}(z_k)\langle f(z_k),(\Theta_{n,k}^{I^{\perp}})^{-1}G_k^{-1}a_k\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}|. \\
&= 2\pi\sup_{f\in\mathcal{Z},\|f\|=1}\ \sup_{a\in\oplus_{k=1}^{n}J_k,\|a\|_s=1}|\sum_{k=1}^{n}\langle 2\operatorname{Re}(z_k)a_k,(G_k^{-1})^{*}((\Theta_{n,k}^{I^{\perp}})^{-1})^{*}f(z_k)\rangle| \\
&= 2\pi\sup_{f\in\mathcal{Z},\|f\|=1}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n}\|\frac{2\operatorname{Re}(z_k)}{b_{n,k}}(G_k^{-1})^{*}\overline{\Theta_n^{I}(z_k)}U_nf(z_k)\|^{s'}\right)^{1/s'} \\
&= 2\pi\sup_{f\in\mathcal{Z},\|f\|=1}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n}\|\frac{2\operatorname{Re}(z_k)}{b_{n,k}}(G_k^{-1})^{*}\overline{\Theta_n^{I}(z_k)}f(z_k)\|^{s'}\right)^{1/s'} \\
&= \|\mathcal{J}|_{H^{p'}_{\tilde{W}_{n,p}}(\mathbb{C}_-,\mathcal{H})\to L^{s'}(\mathbb{C}_+,\mathcal{H},\mu_{n,s})}\|. \qquad (10)
\end{aligned}$$

Here, U_n is a suitably chosen unitary operator, using Lemma 2.6 from [6] in the third line of the proof.
If $s=1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
m_{n,p,1} &= \sup_{a\in\oplus_{k=1}^{n}J_k,\|a\|_1=1}\ \sup_{f\in H^{p'}_{\tilde{W}_{n,p}}(\mathbb{C}_-,\mathcal{H}),\|f\|=1}|\langle\Phi_a,f\rangle| \\
&= \sup_{f\in H^{p'}_{\tilde{W}_{n,p}}(\mathbb{C}_-,\mathcal{H}),\|f\|=1}\ \sup_{k\leq n}\|2\operatorname{Re}(z_k)(G_k^{-1})^{*}(\Theta_{n,k}^{I^{\perp}\,-1})^{*}f(z_k)\| \\
&= \sup_{f\in H^{p'}_{\tilde{W}_{n,p}}(\mathbb{C}_-,\mathcal{H}),\|f\|=1}\ \sup_{k\leq n}\|2\frac{\operatorname{Re}(z_k)}{|b_{n,k}|}(G_k^{-1})^{*}\overline{\Theta_n^{I}(z_k)}U_nf(z_k)\| \\
&= \sup_{f\in H^{p'}_{\tilde{W}_{n,p}}(\mathbb{C}_-,\mathcal{H}),\|f\|=1}\ \sup_{k\leq n}\|2\frac{\operatorname{Re}(z_k)}{|b_{n,k}|}(G_k^{-1})^{*}\overline{\Theta_n^{I}(z_k)}f(z_k)\|.
\end{aligned}$$

■

This finishes the proof of Theorem 2.6. ■

In the unweighted case, we can instead consider a Carleson embedding for a simpler measure, restricted to an invariant subspace of the shift operator:

Corollary 2.8 *Let* $1\leq p<\infty$, $1<s<\infty$.

$$m_{n,p,s}=\|\mathcal{J}|_{\overline{\Theta_n^{I}}H^{p'}(\mathbb{C}_-,\mathcal{H})\to L^{s'}(\mathbb{C}_+,\tilde{\mu}_{n,s},\mathcal{H})}\| \quad (n\in\mathbb{N}),$$

$$m_{p,s}=\|\mathcal{J}|_{\overline{\Theta^{I}}H^{p'}(\mathbb{C}_-,\mathcal{H})\to L^{s'}(\mathbb{C}_+,\tilde{\mu}_{s},\mathcal{H})}\| \quad (n\in\mathbb{N}),$$

where

$$\tilde{\mu}_{n,s}=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{|2\operatorname{Re}z_k|^{s'}}{|b_{n,k}|^{s'}}(G_k^{-1}(G_k^{-1})^{*})^{s'/2}\delta_{z_k},$$

$$\tilde{\mu}_{s}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{|2\operatorname{Re}z_k|^{s'}}{|b_{\infty,k}|^{s'}}(G_k^{-1}(G_k^{-1})^{*})^{s'/2}\delta_{z_k},$$

Proof This follows immediately from Theorem 2.6. ■

We have thus reduced the interpolation problem to the boundedness of an operator-weighted Carleson embedding. In the finite-dimensional case, we can in many instances give criteria for the boundedness of this embedding:

Theorem 2.9 *Let* $1 \leq s, p < \infty$, *let* $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}^N$, *let* $(G_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$, $(I_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$, $(z_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$, *be defined as above, and let* $f \mapsto E(f) = (G_k f(z_k))_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ *be the evaluation operator.*

1. *Let* $1 \leq p < \infty$, $1 < s < \infty$. *Then* $E(H^p(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathbb{C}^N)) \supseteq \ell^s(J_k)$, *if and only if the scalar measure*
$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|2 \operatorname{Re} z_k|^{s'}}{|b_{\infty,k}|^{s'}} \|(G_k^{-1})^* \overline{\Theta^I(z_k)}\|^{s'} \delta_{z_k}$$
is an $\frac{s'}{p'}$*-Carleson measure. (This holds also in case* $p = 1$ *with the notion of* 0*-Carleson measure from Equation (2)).*

2. *Let* $s = 1$, $1 \leq p < \infty$. *Then* $E(H^p(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathcal{H})) \supseteq \ell^1(J_k)$, *if and only if the operator sequence*
$$\left(\frac{|\operatorname{Re} z_k|^{1/p}}{|b_{\infty,k}|} (G_k^{-1})^* \overline{\Theta^I(z_k)} \right)$$
is bounded.

Proof A weak* compactness argument shows that $E(H^p(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathcal{H})) \supseteq \ell^s(J_k)$ if and only if $(m_{n,p,s})$ is bounded. The remainder of the first part follows directly from the comparison of the norm and the trace of a positive matrix, Theorem 2.6, Theorem 2.3 and the invariance of the α-Carleson condition under complex conjugation. For the second part, recall additionally from the proof of Lemma 2.7 that

$$\begin{aligned} m_{n,p,1} &= \sup_{f \in H^{p'}(\mathbb{C}_-, \mathcal{H}), \|f\|=1} \sup_{k \leq n} \|2 \frac{\operatorname{Re}(z_k)}{|b_{n,k}|} (G_k^{-1})^* \overline{\Theta_n^I(z_k)} f(z_k)\| \\ &= \sup_{k \leq n} \|2 \frac{\operatorname{Re}(z_k)}{|b_{n,k}|} (G_k^{-1})^* \overline{\Theta_n^I(z_k)}\| \|k_{z_k}\|_{L^p(i\mathbb{R})/H^p(\mathbb{C}_-)} \approx \sup_{k \leq n} \frac{|\operatorname{Re}(z_k)|^{1/p}}{|b_{n,k}|} \|(G_k^{-1})^* \overline{\Theta_n^I(z_k)}\|. \end{aligned}$$

■

With Theorem 2.3, we further obtain

Corollary 2.10 *If* $\mathcal{H}$ *is a separable Hilbert space,* $1 \leq p < \infty$, $1 < s < \infty$, *and*
$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta_{z_k} \frac{|2 \operatorname{Re} z_k|^{s'} \|G_k^{-1*} \Theta_n^I(z_k)\|^{s'}}{|b_{\infty,k}|^{s'}},$$
is a scalar $\frac{s'}{p'}$*-Carleson measure, then* $E(H^p(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathbb{C}^N)) \supseteq \ell^s(J_k)$.

■

If $p = 2$ and $N = \dim \mathcal{H} < \infty$, we can also deal with the weighted case.

Theorem 2.11 *Let* $(G_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$*,* $(I_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$*,* $(z_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$*, be defined as above, and let* $f \mapsto E(f) = (G_k f(z_k))_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ *be the evaluation operator. Suppose that* $\Theta^{I^\perp *} W \Theta^{I^\perp}$ *is a matrix* A_2 *weight. Then* $E(H^2_W(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathbb{C}^N)) \supseteq \ell^2(J_k)$*, if and only if the scalar measure*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta_{z_k} \frac{|2\operatorname{Re} z_k|^2}{|b_{\infty,k}|^2} \| G_k^{-1*} \Theta^I(z_k) ((\overline{\Theta^{I^\perp *} W \Theta^{I^\perp}})(z_k))^{1/2} \|^2$$

is Carleson.

Proof By Theorem 2.6, we have to investigate the boundedness of the Carleson embedding

$$\mathcal{J}_\mu : H^2_{\tilde{W}}(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathbb{C}^N) \to L^2(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathbb{C}^N, \mu), \tag{11}$$

where $\tilde{W} = \overline{\Theta^{I^\perp *} W^{-1} \Theta^{I^\perp}}$ and $\mu = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta_{z_k} \frac{|2\operatorname{Re} z_k|^2}{|b_{\infty,k}|^2} \Theta^I(z_k)^* G_k^{-1} G_k^{-1*} \Theta^I(z_k)$. The weight $\tilde{W}$ is a matrix A_2 weight, since $\Theta^{I^\perp *} W \Theta^{I^\perp}$ is a matrix A_2 weight. With the notation as in Theorem 2.4, we have

$$L^2(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathbb{C}^N, \mu) = L^2_{\tilde{W}}(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathbb{C}^N, \mu_{\tilde{W}^{-1}})$$

where $d\mu_{\tilde{W}^{-1}}(z) = (\tilde{W}(z))^{-1/2} d\mu(z) (\tilde{W}(z))^{-1/2}$. Thus by Theorem 2.4, 2.3 and a comparison of trace and norm, the embedding

$$L^2_{\tilde{W}}(i\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}^N) \to L^2(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathbb{C}^N, \mu), \tag{12}$$

is bounded if and only if the scalar measure

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta_{z_k} \frac{|2\operatorname{Re} z_k|^2}{|b_{\infty,k}|^2} \| G_k^{-1*} \Theta^I(z_k) (\tilde{W}(z_k))^{-1/2} \|^2 \tag{13}$$

is Carleson. By the splitting $L^2_{\tilde{W}}(i\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}^N) \simeq H^2_{\tilde{W}}(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathbb{C}^N) \oplus H^2_{\tilde{W}}(\mathbb{C}_-, \mathbb{C}^N)$ as in (8), the latter embedding (12) is bounded if and only if (11) is bounded.
Finally, using the A_2 property of $\tilde{W}$ again, we see that the measure (13) can be replaced by

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta_{z_k} \frac{|2\operatorname{Re} z_k|^2}{|b_{\infty,k}|^2} \| G_k^{-1*} \Theta^I(z_k) (\tilde{W}^{-1}(z_k))^{1/2} \|^2$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta_{z_k} \frac{|2\operatorname{Re} z_k|^2}{|b_{\infty,k}|^2} \| G_k^{-1*} \Theta^I(z_k) ((\overline{\Theta^{I^\perp *} W \Theta^{I^\perp}})(z_k))^{1/2} \|^2.$$

■

In contrast to the scalar case, the matrix A_2 property for W does not necessarily imply the A_2 property for $\Theta^{I^\perp *} W \Theta^{I^\perp}$. In fact, it is not difficult to show that if W is matrix A_2, then $\Theta^{I^\perp *} W \Theta^{I^\perp}$ is matrix A_2 if and only if the multiplication operator $M_{\Theta^{I^\perp}} : L^2_W(i\mathbb{R}) \to L^2_W(i\mathbb{R})$ is bounded. One easily sees that such multiplication operators can have arbitrarily large norm even for weights of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & |\omega|^\alpha \end{pmatrix}$$

for fixed α, $|\alpha| < 1$.

Therefore, the most important case for applications is the case of scalar weights. Here we can also deal with $1 < p < \infty$, following [9]. We will state our condition in a slightly different way from [9]. Recall that for $1 < p < \infty$ a function w on $\mathbb{R}$ is called an *A_p weight*, if it is measurable, a.e. positive, locally integrable, and

$$\sup_{I \subset \mathbb{R}, I \text{ interval}} \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I w(x) dx \right) \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I w^{-1/(p-1)}(x) dx \right) < \infty.$$

or, in Möbius-invariant form, if

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{C}_+} w(z)(w^{-1/(p-1)})(z) < \infty,$$

where the symbols w, $w^{-1/(p-1)}$ are also used for the harmonic extensions of the respective weights to $\mathbb{C}_+$. It follows immediately from the definition that w is an A_p-weight if and only if $w^{-1/(p-1)}$ is an $A_{p'}$-weight. With this notation, we obtain

Corollary 2.12 *Let $(G_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, $(I_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, $(z_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, be defined as above, and let $f \mapsto E(f) = (G_k f(z_k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the evaluation operator. Suppose that $1 < p < \infty$ and that w is a scalar A_p weight on $i\mathbb{R}$.*
Then $E(H^p_w(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathbb{C}^N)) \supseteq \ell^p(J_k)$, if and only if the scalar measure

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta_{z_k} \frac{|2 \operatorname{Re} z_k|^2}{|b_{\infty,k}|^{p'}} \|G_k^{-1*} \Theta^I(z_k)\|^{p'} w(z_k)$$

is Carleson.

Proof By Theorem 2.6, we have to investigate the boundedness of the Carleson embedding

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mu_p} : H^{p'}_{w^{-1/(p-1)}}(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathbb{C}^N) \to L^{p'}(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathbb{C}^N, \mu_p), \tag{14}$$

where $\mu_p = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta_{z_k} \frac{|2 \operatorname{Re} z_k|^{p'}}{|b_{\infty,k}|^{p'}} (\Theta^I(z_k)^* G_k^{-1} G_k^{-1*} \Theta^I(z_k))^{p'/2}$. Writing $\tilde{w}$ for the harmonic extension of $w^{-1/(p-1)}$ and, similarly to the previous proof,

$$L^p(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathbb{C}^N, d\mu_p) = L^p_{\tilde{w}}(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathbb{C}^N, d\tilde{\mu}_p),$$

where $d\tilde{\mu}_p(z) = \tilde{w}^{-1}(z) d\mu_p(z)$, we can use the fact that $\tilde{w}$ is an $A_{p'}$-weight together with the scalar weighted Carleson embedding theorem to obtain that the embedding is bounded, if and only if $d\tilde{\mu}_p = \tilde{w}^{-1}(z) d\mu_p(z)$ is a Carleson measure. The Möbius-invariant form of the A_p condition for w ensures that $\tilde{w}^{-1}(z_k) \approx w(z_k)$ for all k, with equivalence constants only depending on the A_p constant of w, and we obtain that $d\tilde{\mu}_p$ is a Carleson measure if and only if $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta_{z_k} \frac{|2 \operatorname{Re} z_k|^2}{|b_{\infty,k}|^{p'}} \|G_k^{-1*} \Theta^I(z_k)\|^{p'} w(z_k)$ is Carleson. ∎

Returning to the case $p = 2$, we obtain an interpolation result for certain Sobolev spaces which will be useful for an application in control theory.
For $\beta > 0$, recall the definition of the Sobolev space $\mathcal{H}^2_\beta(\mathbb{R}_+)$,

$$\mathcal{H}^2_\beta(\mathbb{R}_+) = \{ f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) : |x|^\beta \hat{f} \in L^2(i\mathbb{R}) \}.$$

This is a Hilbert space with the norm $\|f\|^2_{2,\beta} = \|\hat{f}\|^2_2 + \||x|^\beta \hat{f}\|^2_2$. Letting $\mathcal{L}$ denote the *Laplace transform*, $\mathcal{L}f(z) = \hat{f}(z) = \int_0^\infty f(t) e^{-tz} dt$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$, we obtain

Corollary 2.13 *Let $(G_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$, $(I_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$, $(z_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$, be defined as above. Let $0 < \beta < 1/2$, and let E be the evaluation operator on $\mathcal{H}^2_\beta(\mathbb{R}_+)$ given by $f \mapsto E(f) = (G_k \mathcal{L}f(z_k))_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$. Then $E(\mathcal{H}^2_\beta(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^N)) \supseteq \ell^2(J_k)$, if and only if the scalar measure*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta_{z_k} \frac{|2\operatorname{Re} z_k|^2}{|b_{\infty,k}|^2} \|G_k^{-1*}\Theta^I(z_k)\|^2 |\omega|^{2\beta}(z_k)$$

is Carleson.

Proof Clearly the Laplace transform defines an operator

$$\mathcal{H}^2_\beta(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^N) \to H^2_{(1+|\omega|^{2\beta})}(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathbb{C}^N)$$

which is an isometric isomorphism up to an absolute constant. It is well-known that the weight $(1 + |\omega|^{2\beta})$ is A_2 if and only if $|\beta| < 1/2$. Thus we obtain the result from Corollary 2.12. ■

2.4 Some estimates for $m_{n,p,s}$

We give some estimates for the interpolation constant $m_{n,p,s}$. The proofs are similar to the case $p = 2$ in [6], Sections 2.4 and 2.5, so we just state the notation and results here.

2.4.1 Finite union of Carleson sequences

The first estimate concerns the case that (z_k) is the union of K Carleson sequences. Here, for an estimate of the $m_{n,p,s}$ (up to a constant), the $\Theta^I_n(z_k)$ can be replaced by a Blaschke–Potapov product with at most K factors, $\Theta^{I,r}_n(z_k)$, where the factors correspond to the z_j in a suitably small hyperbolic r-neighbourhood of z_k.

Corollary 2.14 *Let (z_k) be the union of K Carleson sequences and let $r > 0$ be such that each of the Carleson sequences is r-separated in the hyperbolic metric. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, define $\Theta^I_{n,z_k,r}$ as the Blaschke–Potapov product associated to the shift-invariant subspace*

$$\mathcal{L}_{n,z_k,r} = \{f \in H^p(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathbb{C}^N), f(z_j) \in I_j \text{ for all } z_j \text{ with } d(z_j, z_k) < r/2, j \leq n\}.$$

Then for $1 < p < \infty$,

$$m_{n,p,s} \approx \|\mathcal{J}_{\mu_{n,I,r}}|_{H^{p'}(\mathbb{C}_+,\mathbb{C}^N)\to L^{s'}(\mathbb{C}_+,\mathcal{H},\mu_{n,I,r,s})}\| \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}),$$

where $\mu_{n,I,r,s} = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{|2\operatorname{Re} z_k|^{s'}}{|b_{n,k}|^{s'}} \|G_k^{-1}\Theta^I_{n,z_k,r}(z_k)\|^{s'} \delta_{z_k}$ and $\mathcal{J}_{\mu_{n,I,r}}$ is the associated Carleson embedding.

Proof As in the case $p = 2$ in [6], Corollary 2.12. ■

2.4.2 Angles between subspaces

As in the case $p = 2$, interpolation conditions can be written in terms of angles between certain subspaces of $H^2(\mathbb{C}^N)$ rather than in terms of the inner function Θ^I. Recall that the angle between two non-zero vectors v_1, v_2 in a Hilbert space V is given by

$$\angle(v_1, v_2) := \arccos\left(\frac{\langle v_1, v_2\rangle}{\|v_1\|\,\|v_2\|}\right),$$

and the angle between two nontrivial subspaces V_1 and V_2 of V is defined as

$$\angle(V_1, V_2) := \inf_{v_1\in V_1\setminus\{0\}, v_2\in V_2\setminus\{0\}} \angle(v_1, v_2).$$

The angle between a vector and a subspace is defined analogously.

To recall some notation, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $k = 1, \dots, n$, we write

$$\mathcal{K}_{k,I} = ((b_k P_{I_k} + P_{I_k{}^\perp}))^\perp = k_{z_k} I_k,$$

$$\mathcal{K}'_{k,I,n} = \operatorname{span}\{k_{z_j} I_j : j = 1, \dots, n, j \neq k\} = (\Theta'^{I^\perp}_{k,n} H^2(\mathbb{C}^N))^\perp$$

and

$$\mathcal{K}'_{k,I} = \overline{\operatorname{span}\{k_{z_j} I_j : j \in \mathbb{N}, j \neq k\}} = (\Theta'^{I^\perp}_{k} H^2(\mathbb{C}^N))^\perp.$$

where $\Theta'^{I^\perp}_{k,n}$ is the Blaschke–Potapov product as in Lemma 2.5 corresponding to $\{z_j, j = 1, \dots, n, j \neq k\}$, and $\Theta'^{I^\perp}_{k}$ is the infinite Blaschke–Potapov product corresponding to $\{z_j, j \in \mathbb{N}, j \neq k\}$. We will state some interpolation results in terms of angles between such subspaces in $H^2(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathbb{C}^N)$.

Corollary 2.15 *Suppose that $N = \dim \mathcal{H} < \infty$. Suppose that there is a sequence of positive real numbers (α_k) such that with the above notation, $G_k^* G_k = \alpha_k^2 P_{I_k}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for $1 < p, s < \infty$,*

$$m_{n,p,s} \approx \operatorname{Carl}_{s'/p'}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{(\operatorname{Re} z_k)^{s'}}{|\alpha_k|^{s'} |\angle(\mathcal{K}_{k,I}, \mathcal{K}'_{k,I,n})|^{s'}} \delta_{z_k}\right)^{1/s'}$$

and

$$m_{p,s} = \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} m_{n,p,s} \approx \operatorname{Carl}_{s'/p'}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\operatorname{Re} z_k)^{s'}}{|\alpha_k|^{s'} |\angle(\mathcal{K}_{k,I}, \mathcal{K}'_{k,I})|^{s'}} \delta_{z_k}\right)^{1/s'}$$

with equivalence constant depending only on N, p, and s.

In the case of (z_k) being the union of K Carleson sequences, Corollary 2.14 yields

Corollary 2.16 *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $G_k^* G_k = \alpha_k^2 P_{I_k}$ for all k, let (z_k) be the union of K Carleson sequences, and let $r > 0$ be such that each of the Carleson sequences is r-separated in the hyperbolic metric. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, define $\mathcal{K}'_{k,I,r,n} = \operatorname{span}\{k_{z_j} I_j : j = 1, \dots, n, j \neq k, d(z_j, z_k) < r/2\}$. Then*

$$m_{n,p,s} \approx \operatorname{Carl}_{s'/p'}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{(\operatorname{Re} z_k)^{s'}}{|\alpha_k|^{s'} |\angle(\mathcal{K}_{k,I}, \mathcal{K}'_{k,I,r,n})|^{s'}} \delta_{z_k}\right)^{1/s'}$$

and

$$m_{p,s} = \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} m_{n,p,s} \approx \mathrm{Carl}_{s'/p'}(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\operatorname{Re} z_k)^{s'}}{|\alpha_k|^{s'} |\angle(\mathcal{K}_{k,I}, \mathcal{K}'_{k,I,r})|^{s'}} \delta_{z_k})^{1/s'}$$

with equivalence constant depending only on N, r, K. *Here, we define* $\mathcal{K}'_{k,I,r} = \operatorname{span}\{k_{z_j} I_j : j \in \mathbb{N}, j \neq k, d(z_j, z_k) < r/2\}$ *and* $|\angle(\mathcal{K}_{k,I^\perp}, \mathcal{K}'_{k,I,r,n})| = \pi/2$, *if* $\{z_j : j = 1, \ldots, n, j \neq k, d(z_j, z_k) < r/2\} = \emptyset$.

In the case that $G_k^* G_k$ is not the multiple of an orthogonal projection, the $m_{n,p,s}$ can still be estimated in terms of angles between subspaces in $H^2(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathbb{C}^N)$, albeit in a more technical way.

Corollary 2.17 *Suppose that* $N = \dim \mathcal{H} < \infty$, *and suppose that for each* $k \in \mathbb{N}$, *the operator* $G_k : \mathbb{C}^N \to \mathbb{C}^N$ *is given as*

$$G_k = \begin{pmatrix} g_{k,1}^* \\ \vdots \\ g_{k,d_k}^* \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then for $1 < p < \infty$,

$$m_{n,p,s} \approx \mathrm{Carl}_{s'/p'}(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{d_k} \frac{(\operatorname{Re} z_k)^2 \|G_k^{-1} e_i\|^2}{|\angle(k_{z_k} V_{k,i}^G, \mathcal{K}'_{k,I,n})|^2} \right)^{s'/2} \delta_{z_k})^{1/s'}$$

and

$$m_{p,s} = \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} m_{n,p,s} \approx \mathrm{Carl}_{s'/p'}(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{d_k} \frac{(\operatorname{Re} z_k)^2 \|G_k^{-1} e_i\|^2}{|\angle(k_{z_k} V_{k,i}^G, \mathcal{K}'_{k,I})|^2} \right)^{s'/2} \delta_{z_k})^{1/s'}$$

with equivalence constant depending only on N. *Here,*

$$V_{k,i}^G = \operatorname{span}\{\operatorname{span}\{g_{k,1}, \ldots, g_{k,d_k}\}^\perp \cup \operatorname{span}\{g_{k,j} : 1 \leq j \leq d_k, j \neq i\}\}^\perp$$

for $1 \leq i \leq d_k$.

In an infinite-dimensional version, we only have an upper bound for the $m_{p,s}$ from Theorem 2.3.

Corollary 2.18 *With the notation as above,* $\mathcal{H}$ *a separable Hilbert space,* $1 < p < \infty$,

$$m_{n,p,s} \lesssim \mathrm{Carl}_{s'/p'}(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{(\operatorname{Re} z_k)^{s'} \|G_k^{-1}\|^{s'}}{|\angle(\mathcal{K}_{k,I}, \mathcal{K}'_{k,I,n})|^{s'}} \delta_{z_k})^{1/s'}$$

and

$$m_{p,s} \lesssim \mathrm{Carl}_{s'/p'}(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\operatorname{Re} z_k)^{s'} \|G_k^{-1}\|^{s'}}{|\angle(\mathcal{K}_{k,I}, \mathcal{K}'_{k,I})|^{s'}} \delta_{z_k})^{1/s'}.$$

Finally, we briefly want to comment on the boundedness of the evaluation operator.

Theorem 2.19 *Let $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}^N$ and for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $G_k : \mathbb{C}^N \to \mathbb{C}^N$ with the notation as above.*

1. *For $1 \le p, s < \infty$, the following are equivalent*
   - (a) *$E(H^p(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathbb{C}^N)) \subset \ell^s(J_k)$.*
   - (b) *The measure $\sum_{k=1}^\infty \|G_k\|^s \delta_{z_k}$ is s/p-Carleson.*
2. *For $1 < p, s < \infty$, the following are equivalent.*
   - (a) *$E(H^p(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathbb{C}^N)) = \ell^s(J_k)$.*
   - (b) i. *the linear maps*

$$\frac{1}{(\operatorname{Re} z_k)^{1/p}} G_k^* : J_k \to I_k \tag{15}$$

are uniformly bounded above and below,

ii. *$(z_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is the union of at most N Carleson sequences, and there exists a constant $r > 0$ such that the systems $\{I_k : z_k \in D_r(a)\}$ are uniformly Riesz in $\mathbb{C}^N$ for all $a \in \mathbb{C}_+$(in other words, the system $\{k_{z_k} I_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is unconditional in $H^p(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathbb{C}^N)$),*

iii. *$\sum_{k=1}^\infty (\operatorname{Re} z_k)^{s'/p'} \delta_{z_k}$ is an s'/p'-Carleson measure and $\sum_{k=1}^\infty (\operatorname{Re} z_k)^{s/p} \delta_{z_k}$ is an s/p-Carleson measure.*

(The last condition is redundant in the case $p = s$).

Proof 1. This follows easily from

$$\|E(f)\|^s = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \|G_k f(z_k)\|^s = \int_{\mathbb{C}_+} \langle d\mu^{2/s} f(z), f(z)^{s/2} \rangle,$$

where $\mu = \sum_{k=1}^\infty (G_k^* G_k)^{s/2} \delta_{z_k}$, the matrix Carleson–Duren embedding Theorem 2.3, and a comparison of trace and norm.

2. We first show (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b). If $E : H^p(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathbb{C}^N) \to \ell^s(J_k)$ is bounded and surjective, then

$$E^* : \ell^{s'}(J_k) \to H^{p'}(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathbb{C}^N), \quad (x_k) \mapsto \sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}} k_{z_k} G_k^* x_k$$

is bounded and bounded below. Applying E^* to $(0, \dots, 0, x_k, 0, \dots)$, we see that $\|k_{z_k} G_k^* x_k\|_{p'} \approx \|x_k\|$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $x_k \in I_k$ and that the linear maps $\frac{1}{(\operatorname{Re} z_k)^{1/p}} G_k^* : J_k \to I_k$ are uniformly bounded above and below. In other words, the map

$$\ell^s(J_k) \to \ell^s(I_k), \quad (x_k) \mapsto \left(\frac{1}{(\operatorname{Re} z_k)^{1/p}} G_k^* x_k \right)$$

is an isomorphism of Banach spaces. That means, the map

$$\tilde{E} : H^p(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathbb{C}^N)) = \ell^s(I_k), \quad f \mapsto (\operatorname{Re} z_k)^{1/p} P_{I_k} f(z_k)$$

is bounded and surjective, and

$$\tilde{E}^* : \ell^{s'}(I_k) \to H^{p'}(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathbb{C}^N), \quad (x_k) \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^\infty (\operatorname{Re} z_k)^{1/p} k_{z_k} x_k \tag{16}$$

is bounded and bounded below.

Boundedness of $\tilde{E}$ implies with Part (1) that the measure $\mu_1 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\operatorname{Re} z_k)^{s/p} \delta_{z_k}$ is s/p-Carleson. Surjectivity of $\tilde{E}$ implies by Corollary 2.15 that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\operatorname{Re} z_k)^{s'}}{(\operatorname{Re} z_k)^{s'/p} |\angle(\mathcal{K}_{k,I}, \mathcal{K}'_{k,I})|^{s'}} \delta_{z_k} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\operatorname{Re} z_k)^{s'/p'}}{|\angle(\mathcal{K}_{k,I}, \mathcal{K}'_{k,I})|^{s'}} \delta_{z_k}$$

is a s'/p' Carleson measure, so certainly

$$\mu_2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\operatorname{Re} z_k)^{s'/p'} \delta_{z_k}$$

is a s'/p' Carleson measure. (Here, $\alpha_k = (\operatorname{Re} z_k)^{1/p}$ in the notation of Corollary 2.15.)

The necessary condition (3) in Theorem 2.1 for both μ_1 and μ_2 and a simple convexity argument then imply that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Re} z_k \delta_{z_k}$ is a Carleson measure, and (z_k) is consequently a finite union of Carleson sequences (see e.g. [10], Lecture VII).

Boundedness below of $\tilde{E}^*$ then implies that for suitable $r > 0$, the systems $\{I_k : z_k \in D_r(a)\}$ are uniformly Riesz in $\mathbb{C}^N$ for all $a \in \mathbb{C}_+$.

By [13], this means that the system $\{k_{z_k} I_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is unconditional in $H^2(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathbb{C}^N)$.

For the direction (b) $\Rightarrow$ (a), note that the unconditionality of $\{k_{z_k} I_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $H^2(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathbb{C}^N)$ implies in particular

$$\inf_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \angle(\mathcal{K}'_{k,I}, \mathcal{K}_{k,I}) > 0.$$

So, since $\mu_1 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\operatorname{Re} z_k)^{s'/p'} \delta_{z_k}$ is a s'/p' Carleson measure, the measure

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\operatorname{Re} z_k)^{s'/p'}}{|\angle(\mathcal{K}_{k,I}, \mathcal{K}'_{k,I})|^{s'}} \delta_{z_k}$$

is also s'/p'-Carleson, and $\tilde{E}$ is surjective by Corollary 2.15.

Since $\mu_1 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\operatorname{Re} z_k)^{s/p} \delta_{z_k}$ is s/p-Carleson, it follows from Part(1) that $\tilde{E}$ is also bounded. The uniform boundedness and boundedness below of the maps $\frac{1}{(\operatorname{Re} z_k)^{1/p}} G_k^* : J_k \to I_k$ now imply boundedness and surjectivity of E. ■

3 Controllability

In this section we apply the results on interpolation by vector-valued analytic functions to controllability problems of infinite-dimensional linear systems. We study a system of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}(t) &= Ax(t) + Bu(t), \qquad t \geq 0, \\ x(0) &= x_0. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Here we assume that A is the generator of an exponentially stable C_0-semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ on a Banach space X such that for some s with $1 \leq s < \infty$ the eigenvectors $(\phi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of A form a basis of X, equivalent to the standard basis of ℓ^s, and the corresponding eigenvalues $(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are pairwise distinct. The eigenvalues $(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ then lie in the open left half plane uniformly bounded away from the imaginary axis. For our input space $\mathcal{U}$ we shall fix $\mathcal{U} = L^p(0, \infty; \mathbb{C}^N)$

for some p with $1 < p < \infty$ or a Sobolev space $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{H}^2_\beta(\mathbb{R}_+)$ with $-\frac{1}{2} < \beta < \frac{1}{2}$; then we take $u \in \mathcal{U}$. We assume that the control operator B is given by

$$Bv = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle v, b_n \rangle \phi_n, \qquad v \in \mathbb{C}^N,$$

where $(b_n)_n \subseteq \mathbb{C}^N$, and, to avoid trivial cases, that $b_n \neq 0$ for all n. Thus B is a linear bounded operator from $\mathbb{C}^N$ to

$$X_{(b_n)} = \left\{ \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} x_n \phi_n : \left\{ \frac{x_n |b_n|^{-1}}{n^{2/s}} \right\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \ell^s \right\},$$

equipped with the norm

$$\|x\|_{(b_n)} := \left(\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \frac{|x_n|^s |b_n|^{-s}}{n^2} \right)^{1/s}.$$

One important feature of the interpolation space $X_{(b_n)}$ is that the semigroup $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ can be extended to a C_0-semigroup on $X_{(b_n)}$, which we denote again by $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$, using the property that $T(t)\phi_n = e^{\lambda_n t}\phi_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and the generator of this extended semigroup, denoted again by A, is an extension of A. By a solution of the system (17) we mean the so-called mild solution given by

$$x(t) = T(t)x_0 + \int_0^t T(t-s)Bu(s)\, ds,$$

which is a continuous function with values in the interpolation space $X_{(b_n)}$. We introduce the operator $\mathcal{B}_\infty \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U}, X_{(b_n)})$ by

$$\mathcal{B}_\infty u := \int_0^\infty T(s)Bu(s)\, ds.$$

In the literature on infinite-dimensional system it is often assumed that the operator B is admissible for the semigroup $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$, and thus for some of our results we will include admissibility in the assumptions.

Definition 3.1 *B is called* admissible for $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$, *if $\mathcal{B}_\infty u \in H$ for every $u \in \mathcal{U}$.*

Admissibility implies that the mild solution of (17) corresponding to an initial condition $x(0) = x_0 \in H$ and to $u \in \mathcal{U}$ is a continuous H-valued function of t. The case $\mathcal{U} = L^p(0,\infty;\mathbb{C}^N)$ has been introduced and studied in [18] and [17]. The case $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{H}^2_\beta(\mathbb{R}_+)$ seems to be new and not yet studied in the literature. For further information on admissibility we refer the reader to the survey [4].

Using the special representation of A and B we see that

$$\int_0^\infty T(t)Bu(t)\, dt = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^\infty e^{\lambda_n t} \langle u(t), b_n \rangle dt\, \phi_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle \hat{u}(-\lambda_n), b_n \rangle \phi_n, \tag{18}$$

for every $u \in \mathcal{U}$.

It follows that B is admissible for $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ if and only if $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{U} \subseteq \ell^s(\mathbb{N})$, where $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{U} \to \{x : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}\}$ is defined by

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} g := (\langle \hat{g}(-\lambda_n), b_n \rangle)_n. \tag{19}$$

We shall write $\mathcal{LU}$ for the space of Laplace transforms of $\mathcal{U}$, noting that for $1 < p \leq 2$ we have a bounded operator $\mathcal{L} : L^p(0,\infty;\mathbb{C}^N) \mapsto H^{p'}(\mathbb{C}_+,\mathbb{C}^N)$, where p' is the conjugate index to p, and for $2 \leq p < \infty$ we have a bounded operator $\mathcal{L}^{-1} : H^{p'}(\mathbb{C}_+,\mathbb{C}^N) \to L^p(0,\infty;\mathbb{C}^N)$. (This is basically the Hausdorff–Young inequality [7, VI.3].) The other choice of $\mathcal{U}$ mentioned above is the Sobolev space $\mathcal{H}^2_\beta(\mathbb{R}_+)$, and here we have already noted that $\mathcal{L}$ defines an operator

$$\mathcal{H}^2_\beta(\mathbb{R}_+,\mathbb{C}^N) \to H^2_{(1+|\omega|^{2\beta})}(\mathbb{C}_+,\mathbb{C}^N)$$

which is an isometric isomorphism.

We now apply the results on interpolation by vector-valued analytic functions to admissibility. To obtain necessary and sufficient conditions, we work first with the spaces $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{L}^{-1}H^{p'}(\mathbb{C}_+,\mathbb{C}^N)$ with the norm induced from $H^{p'}$, and then with the spaces $\mathcal{U} = L^p(0,\infty;\mathbb{C}^N)$.

Theorem 3.2 *Suppose that* $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{L}^{-1}H^{p'}(\mathbb{C}_+,\mathbb{C}^N)$ *with* $1 < p < \infty$. *Then* B *is admissible for* $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ *if and only if the measure* $\sum_{k=1}^\infty |b_k|^s \delta_{z_k}$ *is* s/p'*-Carleson.*

If $\mathcal{U} = L^p(0,\infty;\mathbb{C}^N)$ *with* $1 < p \leq 2$ *then* B *is admissible if the measure* $\sum_{k=1}^\infty |b_k|^s \delta_{-\lambda_k}$ *is* s/p'*-Carleson.*

If $\mathcal{U} = L^p(0,\infty;\mathbb{C}^N)$ *with* $2 \leq p < \infty$ *then the admissibility of* B *implies that the measure* $\sum_{k=1}^\infty |b_k|^s \delta_{-\lambda_k}$ *is* s/p'*-Carleson.*

Proof Choosing $\mathcal{H} := \mathbb{C}^N$ and defining $G_k \in \mathbb{C}^{N\times N}$ by $G_k^* := (b_k\ 0 \cdots 0)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the theorem follows immediately from Theorem 2.19.

■

Theorem 3.2 can also be found in [17]. We shall discuss the following controllability concepts.

Definition 3.3 *Let* $\tau > 0$. *We say that the system (17) is*

1. null-controllable in time τ, *if* $R(T(\tau)) \subset R(\mathcal{B}_\infty)$*;*
2. approximately controllable, *if* $R(\mathcal{B}_\infty) \cap X$ *is dense in* X*;*
3. exactly controllable, *if* $X \subset R(\mathcal{B}_\infty)$.

Here $R(\cdot)$ denotes the range of an operator. It is easy to see that every exactly controllable system is approximately controllable and null-controllable in any time $\tau > 0$.

3.1 Conditions for exact controllability

As in [5, 6] we may reduce the question of exact controllability to an interpolation problem. This can then be solved using the results of Section 2. Using (18), it follows that the system (17) is exactly controllable if and only if $\ell^s(\mathbb{N}) \subseteq \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{U}$. where $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{U} \to \{x : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}\}$ is defined by (19).

To obtain necessary and sufficient conditions, we work first with the input spaces $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{L}^{-1}H^{p'}(\mathbb{C}_+,\mathbb{C}^N)$ (with the norm induced from $H^{p'}$). There are two cases to consider.

Theorem 3.4 *Suppose that* $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{L}^{-1}H^{p'}(\mathbb{C}_+,\mathbb{C}^N)$ *with* $1 < p \leq s' < \infty$. *Then the following statements are equivalent:*

1. *System (17) is exactly controllable.*

2. *There exists a constant* $m > 0$ *such that for all* $h > 0$ *and all* $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$*:*

$$\sum_{-\lambda_n \in R(\omega,h)} \frac{|\operatorname{Re} \lambda_n|^{s'}}{\|b_n\|^{s'} |\angle(e^{\lambda_n t} b_n, \operatorname{span}_{j\neq n, j\in\mathbb{N}}\{e^{\lambda_j t} b_j\})|^{s'}} \leq m h^{s'/p}, \tag{20}$$

where $R(\omega, h) := \{s \in \mathbb{C}_+ : \operatorname{Re} s < h,\ \omega - h < \operatorname{Im} s < \omega + h\}$.

Theorem 3.5 *Suppose that* $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{L}^{-1} H^{p'}(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathbb{C}^N)$ *with* $1 < s' < p < \infty$*. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

1. *System (17) is exactly controllable.*
2. *The function*

$$\omega \mapsto \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \frac{|\operatorname{Re} \lambda_n|^{s'}}{\|b_n\|^{s'} |\angle(e^{\lambda_n t} b_n, \operatorname{span}_{j\neq n, j\in\mathbb{N}}\{e^{\lambda_j t} b_j\})|^{s'}} p_{-\lambda_n}(i\omega) \tag{21}$$

lies in $L^{p/(p-s')}(\mathbb{R})$*. Here* $p_{-\lambda_n}$ *denotes the Poisson kernel (1) for* $-\lambda_n$*.*

Remark 3.6 In the scalar case $N = 1$, expressions (20) and (21) can be simplified, since

$$|\angle(e^{\lambda_n t} b_n, \operatorname{span}_{j\neq n, j\in\mathbb{N}}\{e^{\lambda_j t} b_j\})| \asymp \prod_{j\neq n} \left| \frac{\lambda_n - \lambda_j}{\overline{\lambda_n} + \lambda_j} \right|. \tag{22}$$

The resulting expressions provide a generalization of [5, Thm. 3.1].

Proof of Theorems 3.4 and 3.5 We choose $\mathcal{H} := \mathbb{C}^N$ and we define $G_k \in \mathbb{C}^{N\times N}$ by $G_k^* := (b_k\ 0 \cdots 0)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that system (17) is exactly controllable if and only if $\ell^s(\mathbb{N}) \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{U}}$. A weak* compactness argument shows that the latter holds if and only if

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \sup_{\substack{x\in\ell^s(\mathbb{C}^N)\\ \|x\|_s \leq 1}} \inf \left\{ \|f\|_{\mathcal{U}} : f \in \mathcal{U}, G_k \hat{f}(-\lambda_k) = (x_k\ 0 \cdots 0)^T, k = 1, \cdots, n \right\}$$

is finite. Thus we have reduced the question of exact controllability to an interpolation problem treated in Section 2. Using the notation of Section 2 we have

$$\angle(\mathcal{K}_{k,I}, \mathcal{K}'_{k,I}) = \angle(e^{\lambda_k t} b_k, \operatorname{span}_{j\neq k, j\in\mathbb{N}}\{e^{\lambda_j t} b_j\}).$$

Theorems 3.4 and 3.5 now follow from Corollary 2.15. ■

This gives an immediate corollary for $\mathcal{U} = L^p(0, \infty; \mathbb{C}^N)$. In the case $p = 2$ it provides necessary and sufficient conditions for controllability, but even for other values of p it provides an implication in one direction or the other.

Corollary 3.7 *Suppose that* $\mathcal{U} = L^p(0, \infty; \mathbb{C}^N)$*. Then:*
(i) If $1 < p \leq s' < \infty$ *and* $p \geq 2$*, then (20) is a sufficient condition for the exact controllability of (17).*
(ii) If $1 < p \leq s' < \infty$ *and* $p \leq 2$*, then (20) is a necessary condition for the exact controllability of (17).*
(iii) If $1 < s' < p < \infty$ *and* $p \geq 2$*, then (21) is a sufficient condition for the exact controllability of (17).*
(iv) If $1 < s' < p < \infty$ *and* $p \leq 2$*, then (21) is a necessary condition for the exact controllability of (17).*

Proof This follows from Theorems 3.4 and 3.5, together with the Hausdorff–Young theorem mentioned above. ■

We now consider controllability in the situation $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{H}^2_\beta(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^N)$ (again sufficient conditions and necessary conditions can be derived for other values of p with $1 < p < \infty$, using Corollary 2.12, but we shall omit them). For $0 < \beta < 1/2$ we shall write $\phi_{2\beta}(z)$ for the harmonic extension to $\mathbb{C}_+$ of the function $i\omega \mapsto |\omega|^{2\beta}$ defined on the imaginary axis. This is given by

$$\phi_{2\beta}(x + iy) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{x|\omega|^{2\beta}}{(y-\omega)^2 + x^2}\, d\omega.$$

For real arguments (i.e., $y = 0$), we have the particularly simple expression

$$\phi_{2\beta}(x) = \frac{x^{2\beta}}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{|t|^{2\beta}}{t^2+1}\, dt,$$

which makes the analysis of the case of real eigenvalues by means of the following result relatively straightforward.

Theorem 3.8 *Suppose that $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{H}^2_\beta(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^N)$ with $0 < \beta < 1/2$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

1. *System (17) is exactly controllable.*
2. *There exists a constant $m > 0$ such that for all $h > 0$ and all $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$:*

$$\sum_{-\lambda_n \in R(\omega,h)} \frac{|\operatorname{Re}\lambda_n|^2 \phi_{2\beta}(-\lambda_n)}{\|b_n\|^2 |\angle(e^{\lambda_n t} b_n, \operatorname{span}_{j\neq n, j\in\mathbb{N}}\{e^{\lambda_j t} b_j\})|^2} \leq mh, \tag{23}$$

where $R(\omega, h) := \{s \in \mathbb{C}_+ : \operatorname{Re} s < h,\ \omega - h < \operatorname{Im} s < \omega + h\}$.

Proof This is proved in the same way, using Corollary 2.13 and the identity

$$\frac{\|G_n^{-1}\Theta^I(-\lambda_n)\|^2}{|b_{\infty n}|^2} = \frac{1}{\|b_n\|^2} \frac{\|\Theta^I(-\lambda_n)\|^2}{|b_{\infty n}|^2} \asymp \frac{1}{\|b_n\|^2} \frac{1}{|\angle(e^{\lambda_n t} b_n, \operatorname{span}_{j\neq n, j\in\mathbb{N}}\{e^{\lambda_j t} b_j\})|^2},$$

given in [6, Lem 2.15]. ■

Concerning admissibility and controllability we have the following equivalent condition.

Theorem 3.9 *Suppose that $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{L}^{-1} H^{p'}(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathbb{C}^N)$. Then B is an admissible control operator and the system (17) is exactly controllable if and only if*

1. *The sequence*

$$\frac{\|b_k\|}{|\operatorname{Re}\lambda_k|^{1/p}}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

is uniformly bounded above and below.

2. *$\{k_{-\lambda_k} b_k\}$ is unconditional in $H^p(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathbb{C}^N)$.*
3. *$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\operatorname{Re} -\lambda_k)^{s'/p'} \delta_{-\lambda_k}$ is an s'/p'-Carleson measure and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\operatorname{Re} -\lambda_k)^{s/p} \delta_{-\lambda_k}$ is an s/p-Carleson measure.*

Proof Choose $\mathcal{H} := \mathbb{C}^N$ and defining $G_k \in \mathbb{C}^{N\times N}$ by $G_k^* := (b_k\ 0 \cdots 0)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the theorem follows immediately from Theorem 2.19. ■

3.2 Conditions for null controllability

As for exact controllability, the question of null controllability is easily reduced to an interpolation problem. Using (18) it is easy to see that the system (17) is null-controllable in time τ if and only if $\{(e^{\lambda\tau}x_n)_n : (x_n)_n \in \ell^s(\mathbb{N})\} \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{U}$, where $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ is defined by (19). Replacing b_k by $e^{-\overline{\lambda_k}\tau}b_k$ in the previous subsection, we obtain the following two theorems (3.10 and 3.11).

Theorem 3.10 *Suppose that* $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{L}^{-1}H^{p'}(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathbb{C}^N)$ *with* $1 < p \le s' < \infty$. *Then the following statements are equivalent:*

1. *System (17) is null-controllable in time* τ.
2. *There exists a constant* $m > 0$ *such that for all* $h > 0$ *and all* $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$*:*

$$\sum_{-\lambda_n \in R(\omega,h)} \frac{|\operatorname{Re}\lambda_n|^{s'} e^{s'\operatorname{Re}\lambda_n\tau}}{\|b_n\|^{s'}|\angle(e^{\lambda_n t}b_n, \operatorname{span}_{j\neq n, j\in\mathbb{N}}\{e^{\lambda_j t}b_j\})|^{s'}} \le m h^{s'/p}, \tag{24}$$

where $R(\omega,h) := \{s \in \mathbb{C}_+ : \operatorname{Re} s < h,\ \omega - h < \operatorname{Im} s < \omega + h\}$.

Theorem 3.11 *Suppose that* $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{L}^{-1}H^{p'}(\mathbb{C}_+, \mathbb{C}^N)$ *with* $1 < s' < p < \infty$. *Then the following statements are equivalent:*

1. *System (17) is null-controllable in time* τ.
2. *The function*

$$\omega \mapsto \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \frac{|\operatorname{Re}\lambda_n|^{s'} e^{s'\operatorname{Re}\lambda_n\tau}}{\|b_n\|^{s'}|\angle(e^{\lambda_n t}b_n, \operatorname{span}_{j\neq n, j\in\mathbb{N}}\{e^{\lambda_j t}b_j\})|^{s'}} p_{-\lambda_n}(i\omega) \tag{25}$$

lies in $L^{p/(p-s')}(\mathbb{R})$.

Remark 3.12 Once again, expressions (24) and (25) simplify in the scalar case $N = 1$, using (22); the resulting formulae provide a generalization of [5, Thm. 2.1].

Corollary 3.13 *Suppose that* $\mathcal{U} = L^p(0,\infty;\mathbb{C}^N)$. *Then:*
(i) If $1 < p \le s' < \infty$ *and* $p \ge 2$, *then (24) is a sufficient condition for the null controllability of (17) in time* τ.
(ii) If $1 < p \le s' < \infty$ *and* $p \le 2$, *then (24) is a necessary condition for the null controllability of (17) in time* τ.
(iii) If $1 < s' < p < \infty$ *and* $p \ge 2$, *then (25) is a sufficient condition for the null controllability of (17) in time* τ.
(iv) If $1 < s' < p < \infty$ *and* $p \le 2$, *then (25) is a necessary condition for the null controllability of (17) in time* τ.

Proof Again this follows from Theorems 3.10 and 3.11, using the Hausdorff–Young theorem. ■

Similarly to Theorem 3.8, equivalent conditions concerning null controllability for the case $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{H}^2_\beta(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^N)$ with $0 < \beta < 1/2$ can be obtained.

3.3 Conditions for approximate controllability

Next we characterize approximately controllable systems in terms of their eigenvalues and the operator B. By e_n we denote the nth unit vector of $\mathbb{C}^N$. For the purposes of this subsection, we introduce the interpolation space $X_{s,\alpha}$ defined for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 < s < \infty$ by

$$X_{s,\alpha} = \left\{ \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} x_n \phi_n : \{x_n |\lambda_n|^\alpha\} \in \ell^s \right\},$$

with norm

$$\|x\|_{s,\alpha} := \left(\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} |x_n|^s |\lambda_n|^{\alpha s} \right)^{1/s}.$$

The dual space to $X_{s,\alpha}$, with the natural pairing, can be identified with $X_{s',-\alpha}$, and clearly $X = X_{s,0}$.

Theorem 3.14 *Suppose that* $\mathcal{U} = L^p(0,\infty;\mathbb{C}^N)$ *with* $1 < p < \infty$, $\overline{\{\lambda_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}}$ *is* totally disconnected, *that is, no two points* $\lambda, \mu \in \overline{\{\lambda_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}}$ *can be joined by a segment lying entirely in* $\overline{\{\lambda_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}}$. *Then for* $B \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^N, X_{s,\alpha})$ *the following properties are equivalent:*

1. *The system (17) is approximately controllable.*
2. $\operatorname{rank}(\langle Be_1, \phi_n\rangle, \cdots, \langle Be_N, \phi_n\rangle) = 1$ *for all* $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof It is easy to see that statement 1 implies statement 2.
To show that statement 2 implies statement 1, we adapt the proof of [2, Thm. 4.2.3], beginning with the special case that $B \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^N, X)$. We need to show that the reachability subspace $\mathcal{R} = R(\mathcal{B}_\infty)$ is dense in X. As in [2, Thm. 4.1.19] we obtain that $\overline{\mathcal{R}}$ is the smallest closed, $T(t)$-invariant subspace in X containing $R(B)$ and hence equal to the closed linear span of $\{\phi_n : n \in \mathbb{J}\}$ for some $\mathbb{J} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, see [2, Thm. 2.5.8]. The remainder of the proof follows exactly as in [2, Thm. 4.2.3].
To deduce the result in the general case $B \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^N, X_{s,\alpha})$, we fix an integer $m > -\alpha$. Now we know that the system (A, β), where

$$\beta := \sum_{j=1}^\infty \frac{\langle \cdot, B^*\phi_j\rangle}{(1-\lambda_j)^m} \phi_j \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^N, X),$$

is approximately controllable, by the arguments above. Using the fact that

$$\mathcal{B}_\infty f = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{\lambda_n t} \langle f(t), B^*\phi_j\rangle \, dt \, \phi_j = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \langle \hat{f}(-\lambda_j), B^*\phi_j\rangle \phi_j,$$

where $\hat{f}$ denotes the Laplace transform of f, we get that the set

$$S_\beta := \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^\infty \frac{\langle \hat{f}(-\lambda_j), B^*\phi_j\rangle}{(1-\lambda_j)^m} \phi_j : f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^N) \right\}$$

is dense in H. Similarly, let

$$S_B := \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle \hat{f}(-\lambda_j), B^*\phi_j \rangle \phi_j \, : \, f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^N) \right\}.$$

Now if $f \in L^p(0,\infty;\mathbb{C}^N)$, then so is the function g obtaining by taking the convolution between f and the function $t \mapsto t^{m-1}e^{-t}/(m-1)!$, and then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \hat{f}(-\lambda_j), B^*\phi_j \rangle}{(1-\lambda_j)^m} \phi_j = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle \hat{g}(-\lambda_j), B^*\phi_j \rangle \phi_j.$$

Hence $S_\beta \subseteq S_B$, which implies that S_B is dense in X, as required. ■

It would be of interest to decide whether the above result still holds for arbitrary $X_{(b_n)}$, but it seems that the methods of the proof do not extend directly to the general situation.

3.4 Application to the Heat Equation

As in [5, 6], we shall very briefly consider the one-dimensional heat equation on $[0,1]$, given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial z}{\partial t}(\xi,t) &= \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial \xi^2}(\xi,t), \qquad (\xi \in (0,1), \quad t \geq 0), \\ z(0,t) &= 0, \qquad z(1,t) = u(t), \qquad (t \geq 0), \\ z(\xi,0) &= z_0(\xi), \qquad (\xi \in (0,1)). \end{aligned}$$

This may be written in the form (17) with $X = L^2(0,1)$, and $A\phi_n = \lambda_n \phi_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\phi_n(x) = \sqrt{2}\sin(n\pi x)$ and $\lambda_n = -\pi^2 n^2$.
We shall take scalar inputs with $b_n = n\exp(-n^2)$, but, to avoid repeating arguments analogous to those in [5, 6], we shall consider the case $s = 2$, $p > 2$, where Carleson embeddings cannot be tested directly on rectangles, in order to demonstrate how Theorem 3.11 and Remark 3.12 can be applied.

We make use of the following two estimates.

1. From [5], one has
$$1 \leq \prod_{j \neq n} \left| \frac{\overline{\lambda_n} + \lambda_j}{\lambda_n - \lambda_j} \right| \leq \exp(4n(1+\log n)) \qquad \text{for each} \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{26}$$
2. The $L^q(i\mathbb{R})$ norm of a Poisson kernel can be estimated by direct integration using (1), and one obtains
$$\|p_z\|_{L^q} \asymp (\operatorname{Re} z)^{-1+1/q} \qquad \text{for} \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_+, \tag{27}$$
which with $q = p/(p-2)$ yields $(\operatorname{Re} z)^{-2/p}$.

In the framework of Theorem 3.11 and Remark 3.12 with $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{L}^{-1}H^{p'}(\mathbb{C}_+,\mathbb{C})$ a necessary and sufficient condition for null-controllability in time τ is that the function

$$\omega \mapsto \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} n^2 \exp(2n^2)\exp(-2n^2\pi^2\tau) \prod_{j \neq n} \left| \frac{\overline{\lambda_n} + \lambda_j}{\lambda_n - \lambda_j} \right|^2 p_{-\lambda_n}(i\omega) \tag{28}$$

lies in $L^{p/(p-2)}(\mathbb{R})$. This is a sufficient condition in the case $\mathcal{U} = L^p(0,\infty;\mathbb{C}^N)$, by Corollary 3.13. Note that checking such a condition is made simpler by the fact that the expression in (28) is a sum of positive functions

We deduce easily using (26) and (27) that estimate (28) holds if $\tau > 1/\pi^2$, since the series of Poisson kernels converges in $L^{p/(p-2)}$ norm, but does not hold if $\tau < 1/\pi^2$, since the series does not converge. This is in accordance with the results obtained in the case $p = 2$.

4 Conclusions

We have seen that problems of minimal-norm tangential interpolation can be linked to questions involving Carleson measures and to more general versions such as those presented in [3, 8]. These in turn have applications to controllability questions where the input spaces are vectorial Sobolev spaces or L^p spaces. Provided that the sequence of eigenvalues is reasonably regularly-distributed, it is possible to solve such questions by the techniques presented above.

One significant open question remains, namely, to find an exact necessary and sufficient condition for interpolation in the right half-plane by functions that are Laplace transforms of $L^p(0,\infty)$ functions; even the discrete case of interpolation in the disc by an analytic function whose Fourier coefficients form an ℓ^p sequence is only fully solved in the case $p = 2$. A full answer to this question would have immediate applications.

Acknowledgement

The authors gratefully acknowledge support from the Royal Society's *International Joint Project* scheme.

References

[1] J.A. Ball, I. Gohberg and L. Rodman, *Interpolation of rational matrix functions.* Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, 45. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1990.

[2] R.F. Curtain and H. Zwart, *An introduction to infinite-dimensional linear systems theory.* Texts in Applied Mathematics 21, Springer-Verlag New York, 1995.

[3] P. Duren, *Theory of H^p spaces.* Academic Press, New York and London, 1970.

[4] B. Jacob and J.R. Partington, Admissibility of control and observation operators for semigroups: a survey. *Current trends in operator theory and its applications*, 199–221, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., 149, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2004.

[5] B. Jacob and J.R. Partington, On controllability of diagonal systems with one-dimensional input space. *Systems Control Lett.*, 55 (2006), no. 4, 321–328.

[6] B. Jacob, J.R. Partington and S. Pott, Interpolation by vector-valued functions, with applications to controllability. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 252 (2007), 517–546.

[7] Y. Katznelson, *An introduction to harmonic analysis.* Third edition. Cambridge Mathematical Library. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.

[8] D.H. Luecking, Embedding derivatives of Hardy spaces into Lebesgue space. *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 63 (1991), 595–619.

[9] J.D. McPhail, A weighted interpolation problem for analytic functions. *Studia Math.* 96 (1990), no. 2, 105–116.

[10] N.K. Nikol'skiĭ, *Treatise on the shift operator*. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 273. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986.

[11] V.V. Peller, *Hankel operators and their applications*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer Verlag, New York, 2003.

[12] H.S. Shapiro and A.L. Shields, On some interpolation problems for analytic functions. *Amer. J. Math.* 83 (1961), 513–532.

[13] S. Treil, A spatially compact system of eigenvectors forms a Riesz basis if it is uniformly minimal. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* 288 (1986), no. 2, 308–312. English translation in *Soviet Math. Dokl.* 33 (1986), 675–679.

[14] S. Treil and A. Volberg, Wavelets and the angle between past and future. *J. Funct. Anal.* 143 (1997), no. 2, 269–308.

[15] S. Treil and A. Volberg, Continuous frame decomposition and a vector Hunt–Muckenhoupt–Wheeden theorem. *Ark. Mat.* 35 (1997), no. 2, 363–386.

[16] H. Triebel, *Interpolation Theory, Function Spaces, Differential Operators*. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1978.

[17] M. Unteregge, p-admissible control elements for diagonal semigroups on l^r-spaces. *Systems Control Lett.* 56 (2007), no. 6, 447–451.

[18] G. Weiss, Admissibility of unbounded control operators. *SIAM J. Control Optim.* 27 (1989), no. 3, 527–545.